4. Bonito A, Bann C, Eicheldinger C, Carpenter L. Creation of new race-ethnicity codes and socioeconomic status (SES) indicators for Medicare beneficiaries. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Published January 2008. Accessed March 24, 2021. https://archive.ahrq.gov/research/findings/final-reports/medicareindicators/medicareindicators1.html

5. Royce TJ, Sanoff HK, Rewari A. Telemedicine for cancer care in the time of COVID-19. JAMA Oncol. 2020;6(11):1698-1699. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.2684

6. Agha Z, Schapira RM, Laud PW, McNutt G, Roter DL. Patient satisfaction with physician-patient communication during telemedicine. *Telemed J E Health*. 2009;15(9):830-839. doi:10.1089/tmj.2009.0030

Evaluating Eligibility of US Black Women Under USPSTF Lung Cancer Screening Guidelines

The 2021 US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) lung cancer screening guidelines¹ have increased the number of smokers eligible for screening by lowering the age eligibility from 55 to 50 years and reducing the requisite pack-years of smoking from 30 to 20. While these changes should increase the proportion of Black individuals eligible for screening,² it is possible that many high-risk Black women will continue to be ineligible.^{3,4} In this quality improvement study, we evaluate lung cancer screening eligibility among US Black women under the 2013 and 2021 USPSTF guidelines.

Methods | Participants of the Black Women's Health Study (BWHS), which includes self-identified Black women from across the US (n = 58 973), were enrolled in 1995 by completing a health questionnaire with detailed information on cigarette smoking and other exposures; information was updated by biennial questionnaires.⁵ The study was approved by the

Table 1. Reasons for Ineligibility Under 2013 and 2021 USPSTF Guidelines Among Black Women's Health Study Participants With Lung Cancer Who Were Current or Former Smokers

	2013 USPSTF guidelines	2021 USPSTF guidelines
Total cases ineligible for screening	340	284
Reason for ineligibility, No. (%) ^a		
Age <55 y (2013) or <50 y (2021)	94 (27.6)	50 (17.6)
Age >80 y	15 (4.4)	15 (5.3)
Pack-years <30 (2013) or <20 (2021)	283 (83.2)	213 (75.0)
Years since quitting >15	86 (25.3)	86 (29.6)

Abbreviation: USPSTF, US Preventive Services Task Force.

^a Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Boston University Medical Campus Institutional Review Board. Incident lung cancers were identified by self-report and annual linkages with state cancer registries and the National Death Index. We evaluated the proportion of women diagnosed with lung cancer who would have been eligible under the 2013 vs 2021 USPSTF lung cancer screening guidelines. We also estimated the sensitivity and specificity of the USPSTF guidelines and alternative guidelines based on different criteria for pack-year smoking history and years since quitting (YSQ). Analyses were conducted using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

Results | During follow-up of 58 973 BWHS participants from July 1995 through December 2017, 559 women were diagnosed with lung cancer, with mean (SD) age at diagnosis of 64.0 (10.9) years (median [IQR], 65.0 [57.0-72.0] years); 43% were current smokers, 42% were former smokers, and 15% were never smokers. Mean (SD) number of pack-years were 27.6 (15.7) (median [IQR], 18.3 [11.0-32.0]) and 23.0 (17.3) (median [IQR], 17.0 [11.0-32.0]) among current and former smokers, respectively; among former smokers, mean (SD) YSQ was 22.4 (9.7) years (median [IQR], 25.5 [14.0-32.0] years).

Under 2013 USPSTF guidelines, 28.4% of BWHS participants with lung cancer who had a smoking history would have been eligible for lung cancer screening. Under the new 2021 guidelines, the proportion of women eligible for screening increased to 40.2%, representing a 41.5% increase in eligibility (McNemar test, P < .001). Among the 284 smokers who would not have been eligible for screening under the 2021 guidelines, 75.0% were ineligible because they had fewer than 20 pack-years smoking history, and 29.6% were ineligible because they fully (mathematical for the screen in the second s

Sensitivity and specificity of the 2021 guidelines among the 21 604 BWHS participants who were ever smokers were 40.2% and 86.5%, respectively (**Table 2**). Removing the requirement that former smokers must have quit smoking within the past 15 years was associated with an increase in sensitivity, to 48.2%, and a decrease in specificity, to 78.9%. Reducing the required number of pack-years to 15 or 10 years was associated with further increased sensitivity and decreased specificity.

Discussion | In the present analysis, the proportion of Black women diagnosed with lung cancer who would have been

Table 2. Sensitivity and Specificity of Varying Guidelines for Lung Cancer Screening, Based on 22 079 Ever Smokers in the Black Women's Health Study					
Possible guidelines	No. eligible among 475 women with lung cancer	Sensitivity, % (95% CI)	No. ineligible among 21 604 women without lung cancer	Specificity, % (95% CI)	
2013 Guidelines: age 55-80 y and ≥30 pack-years Ind current smoker or quit <15 y ago	135	28.4 (24.4-32.7)	20 100	93.0 (92.7-93.3)	
2021 Guidelines: age 50-80 y and ≥20 pack-years Ind current smoker or quit <15 y ago	191	40.2 (35.8-44.8)	18 697	86.5 (86.0-87.0)	
20 Pack-years and age 50-80 y	229	48.2 (43.7-52.7)	17 050	78.9 (78.4-79.4)	
15 Pack-years and age 50-80 y	294	61.9 (57.5-66.3)	14 964	69.3 (68.7-69.9)	

73.3 (69.3-77.3)

50.9 (46.4-55.5)

59.2 (54.6-63.6)

12 3 30

17 386

16008

348

242

281

jamaoncology.com

57.1 (56.4-57.8)

80.5 (80.0-81.0)

74.1 (73.5-74.7)

≥15 Pack-years and age 50-80 y, and <15 y since quit ≥10 Pack-years and age 50-80 y, and <15 y since quit</p>

≥10 Pack-years and age 50-80 y

eligible for screening increased by 41.5% under 2021 USPSTF screening guidelines compared with 2013 guidelines, yet 60% of the patients with lung cancer still would have been ineligible for screening. We found that revising the new USPSTF guidelines by removing the 15 YSQ requirement for former smokers would increase the proportion of Black women eligible for screening from 40.2% to 48.2%, with a small reduction in specificity. The 2021 USPSTF relaxation of age and pack-years requirements was prompted in part by the need to increase the proportion of Black individuals eligible for screening given their younger age at diagnosis of lung cancer and higher risk of lung cancer associated with fewer smoking pack-years compared with White individuals.^{2,6}

Our findings suggest that removing the 15 YSQ criteria in the current USPSTF guidelines may be beneficial for Black women. Further research should be prioritized to determine if there is a need for sex and/or race and ethnicity differentiation in future revisions to the guidelines. A limitation of the current study was the lack of data on the use of lung cancer screening by eligible individuals in our cohort during the study period. Such information may be available in future studies.

Alexandra L. Potter Chi-Fu Jeffrey Yang, MD Kirsten M. Woolpert, MPH Thrusha Puttaraju Kei Suzuki, MD Julie R. Palmer, ScD

Author Affiliations: University of California, Berkeley (Potter); Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston (Yang); Slone Epidemiology Center, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts (Woolpert, Palmer); Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts (Puttaraju); Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Suzuki); Division of Hematology Oncology, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Palmer).

Accepted for Publication: September 2, 2021.

Published Online: November 24, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.5790

Correction: This article was corrected on August 31, 2023, to fix data errors in the Results, Discussion, and Tables 1 and 2.

Corresponding Author: Julie R. Palmer, ScD, Slone Epidemiology Center at Boston University, 72 E Concord St, L-7, Boston, MA 02118 (jpalmer@bu.edu).

Author Contributions: Dr Palmer had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Ms Potter and Dr Yang contributed equally to this work as co-first authors. *Concept and design:* Potter, Yang, Puttaraju, Suzuki, Palmer.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Potter, Yang, Woolpert, Puttaraju, Palmer. Drafting of the manuscript: Potter, Yang, Puttaraju, Palmer.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Potter, Yang, Woolpert, Puttaraju, Suzuki.

Statistical analysis: Woolpert, Puttaraju

Obtained funding: Palmer.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Puttaraju, Suzuki. Supervision: Suzuki, Palmer.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Palmer reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health during the conduct of the study. Dr Palmer also receives funding from the Karin Grunebaum Cancer Research Foundation. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (UO1CA164974, Dr Palmer). Lung cancer data were obtained in part from state cancer registries (Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Washington, DC). **Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The National Institutes of Health had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclaimer: The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the state cancer registries.

1. Landy R, Young CD, Skarzynski M, et al. Using prediction-models to reduce persistent racial/ethnic disparities in draft 2020 USPSTF lung-cancer screening guidelines. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2021;djaa211. doi:10.1093/jnci/djaa211

2. Aldrich MC, Mercaldo SF, Sandler KL, Blot WJ, Grogan EL, Blume JD. Evaluation of USPSTF lung cancer screening guidelines among African American adult smokers. JAMA Oncol. 2019;5(9):1318-1324. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1402

3. Aberle DR, Adams AM, Berg CD, et al; National Lung Screening Trial Research Team. Reduced lung-cancer mortality with low-dose computed tomographic screening. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(5):395-409. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1102873

4. de Koning HJ, van der Aalst CM, de Jong PA, et al. Reduced lung-cancer mortality with volume CT screening in a randomized trial. *N Engl J Med*. 2020; 382(6):503-513. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1911793

5. Rosenberg L, Adams-Campbell L, Palmer JR. The Black Women's Health Study: a follow-up study for causes and preventions of illness. *J Am Med Womens Assoc* (1972). 1995;50(2):56-58.

6. Han SS, Chow E, Ten Haaf K, et al. Disparities of national lung cancer screening guidelines in the US population. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2020;112(11):1136-1142. doi:10.1093/jnci/djaa013

SARS-CoV-2 Spike-Specific T-Cell Responses in Patients With B-Cell Depletion Who Received Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell Treatments

Two messenger RNA (mRNA)-based vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, are currently available for SARS-CoV-2. Both vaccines have been shown to induce protective immunity against SARS-CoV-2 for most healthy individuals.¹ Recent studies have demonstrated a substantially lower rate of antibody induction by both SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines among patients with immunosuppression, including individuals with cancer.²⁻⁵ However, the immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines among patients with selective B-cell deficiency is not well known.

Studies are ongoing to assess vaccine-induced antibody and T-cell responses among patients treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells that lead to substantial B-cell depletion in humans.

Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies targeting Bcell lineage antigens, most notably CD19 and CD22, have demonstrated remarkable success in inducing the remission of advanced B-cell-derived cancers and have been administered to more than 10 000 patients globally. A successful response to these therapies is often accompanied by substantial B-cell depletion lasting for months to years.⁶ We previously showed that despite persistent B-cell depletion, some patients maintain preexisting protective humoral immunity.⁶ However, to our knowledge, their ability to mount new antibody responses and T-cell immunity has not yet been reported. Here, we determined whether patients with hematologic cancers treated with CAR T cells targeting the CD19 and/or CD22 Bcell lineage antigens can mount antibody and T-cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines.

Methods | For this cohort study, written informed consent for participation was obtained from all patients or their guardians according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocols were